

296010

Butler, David

From: Dulin, Rebecca Jean <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2020 7:25 AM
To: Butler, David; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Bateman, Andrew; Heather Smith; Heather Smith; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Hall, Roger; Jamey Goldin google; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; pfox@burr.com; jbowen@burr.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Scott Elliott; eware@williamsmullen.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

David,

Thanks for reaching out about this. I will try to respond, but I'm not sure I completely understand the question regarding whether the other parties will be joining the "Interested Parties" category. On behalf of DEC/DEP, I can offer that we will be inviting all parties to this docket to participate in the stakeholder work to commence in "Phase 2." I would note that ORS and Ecoplexus were regular participants in the stakeholder meetings that occurred in the "Phase 1" portion of this docket, which led to the Queue Reform Proposal filing made by DEC/DEP in this docket on November 17, 2020.

Please let me know if there is another angle to your question that I am missing.

Thanks,
 Rebecca

From: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:08 PM
To: Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Dulin, Rebecca Jean <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Smith, Heather Shirley <Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com>; Dulin, Rebecca Jean <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Smith, Heather Shirley <Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com>; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Jamey Goldin google <jameygoldin@google.com>; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; pfox@burr.com; jbowen@burr.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Scott Elliott <sellott@elliottlaw.us>; eware@williamsmullen.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

***** CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER *** STOP & THINK! Do you know and trust this sender? Were you expecting this email? Are grammar and spelling correct? Does the content make sense? If suspicious, then do not click links, open attachments or enter your ID or password.**

To the Parties:

The Commission is in receipt of a letter dated November 30, 2020, from Counsel from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC. The letter describes activities of the parties under the two-phase plan approved by the Commission in Order No. 2020-660. The letter describes a category of parties deemed the "Interested Parties." This group does not apparently include all parties in the Docket. A question has been raised as to whether the parties not included in the "Interested Parties" category will be joining that category or not. Obviously, I am not seeking specific information that may be confidential, but would be interested to know if there are any overall general opinions on the subject.

Thanks in advance for your consideration in this matter.

Regards,

David Butler

Special Counsel

Public Service Commission of South Carolina

Butler, David

From: Carrie Schurg <carrie@rlwhitt.law>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2020 9:46 AM
To: Butler, David
Cc: Richard Whitt; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Bateman, Andrew; Rebecca J. Dulin; Heather Smith; MATTHEW GISSENDANNER; Hall, Roger; Jamey Goldin google; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; pfox@burr.com; jbowen@burr.com; Scott Elliott; eware@williamsmullen.com; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams
Subject: [External] Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

This email was dictated by Richard Whitt:

David:

In response to your email below:

1. My client, the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc. believes that, "Interested Parties" was just a term to clarify who was sending the correspondence. By way of illustration, the November 30, 2020, correspondence states that, "The Interested Parties look forward to working together with other stakeholders to achieve consensus on as many topics as possible, allowing them to bring proposed revisions to the Commission with minimal controverted issues remaining, if any."
2. Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Respectfully Submitted,
 Richard Whitt,
 As Counsel for SCSBA.

From: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:08 PM
To: Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Dulin, Rebecca Jean <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Smith, Heather Shirley <Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com>; Dulin, Rebecca Jean <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Smith, Heather Shirley <Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com>; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Jamey Goldin google <jameygoldin@google.com>; bstone@lockhartpower.com; jseay@lockhartpower.com; pfox@burr.com; jbowen@burr.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; richard@rlwhitt.law; Scott Elliott <sellott@elliottlaw.us>; eware@williamsmullen.com; richard@rlwhitt.law; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Docket No. 2019-326-E - Periodic Review of Interconnection Standards

***** CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER *** STOP & THINK! Do you know and trust this sender? Were you expecting this email? Are grammar and spelling correct? Does the content make sense? If suspicious, then do not click links, open attachments or enter your ID or password.**

To the Parties:

The Commission is in receipt of a letter dated November 30, 2020, from Counsel from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC. The letter describes activities of the parties under the two-phase plan approved by the Commission in Order No. 2020-660. The letter describes a category of parties deemed the "Interested Parties." This group does not apparently include all parties in the Docket. A question has been raised as to whether the parties not included in the "Interested Parties" category will be joining that category or not. Obviously, I am not seeking specific information that may be confidential, but would be interested to know if there are any overall general opinions on the subject.

Thanks in advance for your consideration in this matter.

Regards,

David Butler

Special Counsel

Public Service Commission of South Carolina